

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,752 11/17/2000		Sanjay S. Gadkari	INTL-0478-US (P10026)	6968		
21906	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRU	JNER &	HU, PC	TRUONG, LAN DAI T			
1616 S. VO	SS ROAD	, SUITE 750				
HOUSTON	, TX 770)57-2631	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2152		
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/715,752	GADKARI, SANJAY S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152		

	Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee te with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the n	nailing date of the final rejec	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		THE FIRST REPLT WAS I	LICED KALLUHA
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailir	ount of the fee. The appropy originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 mus	t he filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of tl	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further count (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see		pecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3; 6-13; 16-21; 23-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the af	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> fidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>Please see continuation sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	$- \cap \cap$	
13. Other:			94
	BU	N10B(1AH0ENCHON	WANIT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

In response to applicant's arguments about the differences between the Doney and certain features of applicant's invention such as the Doney teaches self-analyzis while the claim requires analyzis of a why a different system, separate from the analyzis system, fail to complete a task on time are not persuasive. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

12/04/2006